UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,507	03/10/2006	Daisuke Itoh	WAKAB97.001APC	4217
	7590 06/30/201 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST		METZMAIER, DANIEL S		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/571,507	ITOH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel S. Metzmaier	1796	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wit for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	me day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this : (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the h appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory	of the final rejection.  Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha	
	LY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	with 27 CED 41.27 must be filed within two months of the date of
	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	ar to the data of filing a brief will not be entored because
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate.</li> </ol>	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	and and search (see No 12 below),
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	onding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	l 41.33(a)).
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Sec	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Obsize (s) allowed:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,9,10 and 19-21</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-8,11,12 and 22-26.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered afficient reasons.	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment includes the limitation, "non-covalently linking", which applicants do not provide a basis in the original specification and the examiner is unable to fine basis therefore. Said limitation is deemed to be new matter. Furthermore, said limitation has not been previously presented and requires further consideration and/or search.

It is further noted that the limitation, "up to 40 % by weight or more" is broader than the prior claim since "up to" reads on zero (0 %). See MPEP 2173.05(c): "Some terms have been determined to have the following meanings in the factual situations of the reported cases: the term 'up to' includes zero as a lower limit, In re Mochel, 470 F.2d 638, 176 USPQ 194 (CCPA 1974); and 'a moisture content of not more than 70% by weight' reads on dry material, Ex parte Khusid, 174 USPQ 59 (Bd. App. 1971)." The limitation "up to 40 % by weight or more" does not otherwise limit the amount since it provides for all amounts including zero. The numeric value of "40 % by weight" is merely a preferred amount but the claims are not limited thereto.